agent algorithm. Catalin Dumitrescu 1,*, Brindusa Radu 1, Man Mariana², Matei Ducu¹, Radu Gheorghe¹ and Liliana Manea¹ ¹ Athenaeum University of Bucharest, Giuseppe Garibaldi 2 A, 20223, Bucharest, Romania; catalindumi@yahoo.com, bmradu@yahoo.com, ducumatei@yahoo.com, Gheorghe_radu@yahoo.com, lilyanamanea@yahoo.com ² University of Petrosani; man mariana2006@yahoo.com Correspondence: catalin.dumitrescu@upb.ro Abstract: Humanity is exposed to crisis situations generated by panic situations of the population (public demonstrations, performances/competitions in stadiums), natural/industrial disasters and wars. In these situations, the decision-making and intervention factors must control the situation and control the crowds by taking appropriate decisions to reduce the potential victims and damages to a minimum. Usually, in crisis situations, the decision-makers do not have complete information, which is, most of the time, uncertain, ambiguous, or missing. That is why software applications such as panic simulators for training play an important role in managing crisis situations. In this article we will present the implementation of a panic simulator and prediction of evacuation and intervention routes using multi-agent Artificial Intelligence algorithms.

Type of the Paper (Article, Review, Communication, etc.) ARTICOL IN FAZA DE EVALUARE

Strategic decision system for Crisis Management using multi-

Keywords: strategic decision systems, panic situation, multi-agent algorithm

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

In the study of the design process of strategic decision support systems, the notion 24 of system is essential. The system is defined by modules that are in a mutual dependency, 25 for the fulfillment of a common purpose using predetermined rules [1]. Depending on 26 how detailed the analysis is, a system has nine characteristics [2], [3]. 27

Each system accepts several inputs, processes the information provided by them and 28 sends the results to the outputs and from here, in the environment in which the system 29 evolves. The limits of the system separate it from the environment in which it manifests 30 itself. Through the interfaces a system communicates with the environment. A system 31 exists only in the environment that contains it and will interact with it through the data 32 and information received. 33

A system is made up of components. These, also called subsystems between which 34 various relationships are established, can consist of indivisible component elements or 35 groups of aggregate elements. The design of the subsystems is very important because, if 36 the system no longer functions properly, its "repair" should be possible by simply 37 replacing the subsystem that caused the failure. System limitations refer to the constraints 38

Citation: To be added by editorial

name

Received: date Revised: date Accepted: date Published: date



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-

1. Introduction

22

imposed by its parameters (eg storage capacity or speed) according to which the system39must operate in order to achieve the purpose for which it was achieved.40

There are some important notions regarding the study of the system. Decomposition is 41 very useful when trying to understand how a complex system works. It will be broken 42 down into simpler subsystems, the structure of which can be analyzed and understood in 43 turn, without considering interference between subsystems [4]. Modularity derives 44 directly from decomposition and facilitates the troubleshooting steps of systems. Coupling 45 refers to the fact that the systems are interdependent although they should be as 46 independent as possible. Thus, the failure of a subsystem would lead to the chain damage 47 of all subsystems and, ultimately, the malfunction of the main system. If independence is 48 ensured, only the defective component can be replaced, making the troubleshooting and 49 repair process much shorter. Cohesion is the extent to which a subsystem can perform a 50 single function [5]. 51

The computer and informational system are based on data. These informational data 52 include all internal and external information that is used within the organization. To this 53 primary information will be added the data regarding the personnel involved in crisis 54 management, dissemination of information, processing, storage and transmission of 55 information to decision makers. 56

In the military field, the information system ensures the connection between the decisional and the operational system. An information system is shown in the figure 1.



According to figure 1, the functions of the system are:

61 62

59

60

57

58

acquisition of information from the internal environment (operational and 63 decision-making), but also information from the external environment after the occurrence 64 of the panic event.

• the acquired information and the results obtained after processing will therefore 66 be stored in the database. 67

• the system must ensure access to the database for their transmission to the 68 intervention teams. 69

• the system will process data at the request of the decision-making and intervention 70 factors. 71

76 77

The use of information systems (IS) within organizations leads to the implementation 72 of the Automated Information System (AIS) based on Artificial Intelligence algorithms [6]. 73 Thus, the SI - SIA relationship is represented in figure 2. 74



Figure 2. SI - SIA relationship.

Panic simulator computer system is a system that will provide predictive 78 information for decision-makers, interventionists, and management activities in an 79 organization, mathematical models for analysis and decision making (planning, 80 control) [7]. The elaboration of the information systems imposes the modeling of the 81 information system of the organization with the help of a formalism through which 82 the reality within the information system can be represented as suggestively and 83 faithfully as possible. For organizations of low complexity, computerization can 84 mean the realization of a single computer application also referred to as a computer 85 system [8]. Computer systems that use AI algorithms can be divided into application 86 modules for certain users, which can be made in different programming languages. 87 This structure is shown in Figure 3. 88



Figure 3. Computer system, subsystems, applications, programs.

90

89

A computer product consists of programs that access the database and the necessary 92 documentation for the use and maintenance of the programs. These are based on 93 methodologies and require the completion of stages starting with the specification of 94 requirements and ending with their implementation, operation, and maintenance [9]. The 95 military information system is a structured set of functionally intercorrelated elements to 96 automate the process of obtaining information and to substantiate decisions [10]. The 97 information system is included in the scope of the information system if within the 98 information system there will be a series of activities that cannot be automated. 99

100

101

2. Materials and Methods

Crowds constitute some of the most complex and fascinating aspects of social reality, 102 intriguing philosophers, historians, writers, psychologists, sociologists, or political 103 scientists in equal measure. Here, numerous psychosocial phenomena are manifested that 104 assume strong interferences between conscious and unconscious, between the most 105 primitive drives and the noblest starts, under the incident of which the human individual 106 discovers new facets of his soul [11].

Crowds and crowd phenomena represent one of the essential dimensions of reality 108 and social life, without which the understanding and interpretation of some of their most 109 important and interesting aspects would not be possible. Just as it would not be possible 110 to develop a coherent theory on social action, or on the transformations of social systems 111 [12], [13]. In this article we present the software development of a multi-agent-based 112 algorithm for characterizing crowd behavior in panic situations, predicting collective 113 human behavior when they are in a dynamic action. The results obtained from the 114 simulations have a practical applicability and can help minimize the number of victims, 115 collateral damage and reduce the time of evacuation and intervention in panic situations. 116 By using the multi-agent type algorithm [14-20], a model was implemented as close as 117 possible to the dynamic model that characterizes the crowds. 118

In the case of panic simulators using multi-agents, the environments are complex 119 and require different variants of search algorithms than in closed environments. In these 120 open environments, actions may be nondeterministic, the effects of actions are not 121 guaranteed, and/or the agent cannot fully perceive the state of the environment. The 122 resulting plan, through the sequence of actions, can be used, for example, in conjunction 123 with the incremental Delaunay triangulation algorithm. 124

Usually in non-deterministic environments, similar actions can lead to different 125 results. By simplifying the reasoning presented in figure 4, we have a person (agent) and 126 an environment with two cells, A and B, in which the actions are movement (Left, Right), 127 push (Push), and the state of the cells can be clean (Clean) or dirty (Dirty). 128

In this example, the plan can be represented as an AND-OR search tree, where we 129 distinguish between cell-nodes where the agent decides which action to perform, called 130 OR nodes, and nodes that represent the possible effects of an action, that is, the states that 131 can result from applying an action to a state, called AND nodes. 132

```
%% Agent
% place the agent
agentCoord = rand(nrPeople,2) .* repmat([xmax, ymax],nrPeople, 1);
prefDoor = ceil(rand(nrPeople,1) .* size(doorCoord,1));
rad = peopleRad * ones(nrPeople,1);
v = zeros(nrPeople, 2);
% test if the people have chosen a valid door
for I = 1:nrPeople
   while (doorW(prefDoor(i)) == 0)
       prefDoor(i) = ceil(rand(1) * size(doorCoord,1));
   end
end
% set value and direction of the initial velocities
% of the people
for I = 1:nrPeople
   dir = doorCoord(prefDoor(i), 🕲 - agentCoord(I, 🕲;
   v(I, ③ = (dir./norm([xmax,ymax])) * norm([15,15]);
end
end
```

Figure 4. Implementation of the algorithm for agents in the Panic Simulator.

133

Delaunay diagram is a partition of space based on a nearest neighbor. Given a 136 collection of vertices, the Delaunay triangulation is a collection of convex polygons such 137 that each point inside a region is closer to the vertex in that region than any other vertex. 138



Figure 5. Implementation of the Delaunay triangulation algorithm.

140 141

139

The agent must find a plan for each possible state. The solution is represented by a sequence of actions and tests, for example [*Push*, if State = 5 then [*Right*, *Push*] else []], which 143

153

ensures that the action state (goal) is reached in all possible ways had the agent in the tree, 144due to the non-deterministic environment. When nondeterminism can cause an action to 145 sometimes have no effect, there are cyclic solutions, for example [while State = 5 do Right]. 146For the simulation presented together with the Delaunay algorithm, we also applied the 147A* search algorithm, because the environment is deterministic and completely observable, 148since diagrams for real situations can be used in the simulation. A* uses a heuristic 149 estimation to estimate the best routes by classifying the nodes, starting from a given initial 150 node to a given objective node. 151

3. Results

The solution search algorithm is:



Figure 6. Implementation of the escape route search algorithm.



Figure 7. The results obtained by implementing the Panic Simulator.

156

154

155

157 158

To build a simulation of agent-based panic management systems (figure 7), three 159 components must be developed. First, models of individual agents must be developed that 160

are able to emulate the relevant behaviors within the system. Second, an environmental 161 model must be developed that provides agent models with the information they need 162 about the physical and procedural aspects of their context. Third, mechanisms must be 163 provided for agents to act and interact, including mechanisms for synchronizing the 164 simulation and transmitting data within it. 165

These developments require both conceptual models and their software instances. 166 The conceptual models of the first two developments are closely related to the analyzed 167 domain and capitalize on the structures of conservation of abstractions. The third 168 development is crucial for the architecture of the simulation engine and for the fidelity of 169 the simulation as it governs the dynamics of the full simulation. While software instances 170 of the first two can be verified and validated for the concepts they model, they should also 171 conform to the architecture of the third development. 172

The main graphical user interfaces of the development platform consist of a series of 173 graphical tools that assist the developer in performing the necessary tasks of designing 174 and creating a MAS [21]. The developer in generically determining the behavior of the 175 template is assisted by the Behavior Design Tool. The agent's behaviors are modeled as 176 they would exchange messages, and if necessary, they arrive at decisions using decision 177 engines deduction. The data and control dependencies between these blocks are required 178 by a MAS.

The system for extracting useful information, for logic agents, is based on the 180 application of data mining techniques against the background of specific data requests. 181 Agent-Oriented Programming (AOP) is a new methodological programming model 182 regarding the construction of multi-agent systems. From an engineering point of view, 183 agent-centered programming can be viewed as a specialization of the object-oriented 184 programming model. Exposure in such a model consists of the actions of these agents by 185 which they request or provide information, participate or compete with agents in the 186 system. Communication between agents is different depending on the intended mode of 187 communication, and its results are varied depending on individual intentions. Models for 188 coordination arrange the knowledge, availability, and projects of intelligent agents in such 189 a way that they can assemble their actions or solve a problem [22]. 190

Coordination is essential to a multi-agent system, without coordination the benefits 191 of interaction between agents cannot be discussed, which will quickly degenerate into a 192 chaotic group of individual agents [23-24]. The reasons why the agencies need to be 193 coordinated would be: 194

- To prevent disorder; 195
- They may encounter global constraints;
- In a multi-agent system, agents have different capabilities and expertise 197 possibilities; 198
- Their actions are often interdependent, that is, an agent has to wait for the 199 completion of the tasks of another agent before executing its own task. 200

An easy way to ensure orderly behavior and resolve conflicts is to give the group an 201 agent with a broad view of the system through an organizational or hierarchical 202

conformation. The simplest coordination technique is in the classical architecture, 203 coordinator - executor or client - server for assignments and resource sharing for executor 204 agents by a coordinator agent. To ensure global consistency [25], the coordinating agent 205 can gather data from group agents and assign tasks to individual agents and make plans. 206 In an agent network, agents are most often identified with its nodes, thus there is a 207hierarchy with two types: system management agents and safety agents. By this 208 hierarchical relationship it is defined as a mode of server-client interaction. For execution 209 activities, higher-level agents select lower-level agents in order to perform independent 210 activities, there is no inter-agent cooperation for decision-making purposes, and each agent 211 shows an option on system control. 212

The division of tasks and resources is one of the essential areas of MAS and one of 213 their important contributions to computer science. The assignment of tasks is achieved 214 through the definition of the organizational systems through which the agents operate, 215 their competences aiming at the achievement of the common objective. In this context, we 216 can talk about the presentation of the division of tasks, since the potential of an agent 217 depends on its structural competences and the possibilities it possesses, external reserves 218 and environmental conditions [26-27]. Tasks that require more means of either work or 219 skill, which cannot be provided by one agent, must be divided into several subtasks and 220 distributed to different agents. 221

Limitations of multi-agent systems

A static architecture is one in which all the components of the multi-agent system 223 such as its inputs and outputs are determined in the design specification. In dynamic 224 architecture, not all components are known, the specification, source of inputs and 225 destination of outputs are mobile for each component. What differentiates the two 226 architectures is the fact that in a static architecture the presence of the elements is necessary 227 for the system to work, and in a dynamic architecture it is not necessary for the agents to 228 participate for a certain period of time, and they can enter or leave participation in the 229 system. 230

Coordination is essential to a multi-agent system, without coordination it is 231 impossible discuss the benefits of interaction between agents, which will quickly degenerate into a chaotic group of individual agents. 233

The reasons why the agencies need to be coordinated would be:

- To prevent disorder;
- They may encounter global constraints;

• In a multi-agent system, agents have different capabilities and expertise 237 possibilities; 238

• Their actions are often inter-conditioned, meaning an agent is forced to wait 239 to terminate another agent's tasks before performing their own task. 240

An easy way to ensure orderly behavior and resolve conflicts is to give the group an 241 agent who has a broad perspective on the system, through an organizational or 242 hierarchical conformation. 243

222

232

234

235

The simplest coordination technique is found in classical architecture, coordinator - 244 executor or client - server for assignments and resource sharing for executor agents to a 245 coordinating agent. 246

To ensure global consistency, the coordinating agent may aggregate data from 247 agencies group and can assign tasks to individual agents and make plans. The assignment 248 of tasks is achieved by defining the organizational systems through which the agencies 249 they work, their competences aiming at the achievement of the common objective. In this 250 context it can talk about the presentation of how to divide the tasks because the potential 251 of an agent depends on his structural competences and the possibilities he possesses, 252 external reserves and environmental conditions. 253

Assignments that require more means of work or skill, which cannot be provided by one agent, must be divided into several subtasks and distributed to different agents.

4. Conclusions

Strategic decision support systems are integrated systems of policies, procedures, 258 organizational structures, personnel, equipment, facility, and communications designed to 259 support the exercise of the command-and-control process in all phases (states) of missions 260 in the tactical field. 261

The strategic decision support system will ensure the transmission of information 262 between the organizational structures (entities participating in the action) and the technical 263 means of processing in the space related to the tactical field, beyond the visible and 264 invisible horizon. The missions that will be carried out by the strategic decision support 265 system are: 266

1. Supporting command and control processes across the spectrum of tactical actions267(providing decision-makers with the means to exercise authority and command of268subordinate forces and those received in support to carry out the mission).269

Support for planning, decision-making and rapid response in dynamically
 evolving tactical environments (rapid transition from offensive to defensive actions
 requires commanders to make frequent changes in conceptions and action plans).

3. Support for increasing the mobility of intervention teams and adapting them to different environments for carrying out specific actions. 274

4. Ensuring the exchange of information within the joint tactical actions, with the 275 support of other participating entities. 276

Author Contributions: For research articles with several authors, a short paragraph specifying their 278 individual contributions must be provided. The following statements should be used "Conceptual-279 ization, X.X. and Y.Y.; methodology, X.X.; software, X.X.; validation, X.X., Y.Y. and Z.Z.; formal 280 analysis, X.X.; investigation, X.X.; resources, X.X.; data curation, X.X.; writing-original draft prep-281 aration, X.X.; writing-review and editing, X.X.; visualization, X.X.; supervision, X.X.; project ad-282 ministration, X.X.; funding acquisition, Y.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published ver-283 sion of the manuscript." Please turn to the <u>CRediT taxonomy</u> for the term explanation. Authorship 284 must be limited to those who have contributed substantially to the work reported. 285

Funding: This research received no external funding

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

286 287

277

254 255 256

| Acknowledgments: This work is supported by The Intermediate Organization for the Promotion of | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|
| the Information Society (OIPSI), by the National Plan of R&D, Project NEUROGUV, cod: | 289 | | |
| POC/875/2/2, Contract No. 142429/01.06.2022. | 290 | | |
| Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. | 291 | | |

References

| 1. | Alalwan, J.A. (2013) 'A taxonomy for decision support capabilities of enterprise content management systems', The | 294 |
|-----|---|-----|
| | Journal of High Technology Management Research, Vol. 24 No 1, pp. 10-17. | 295 |
| 2. | Mentzas, G. (1997) 'Implementing an IS Strategy- A Team Approach', Long Range Planning, Vol. 30 No 1, pp. 84-95. | 296 |
| 3. | Cao, Q. and Schniederjans, M.J. (2004) 'Empirical study of the relationship between operations strategy and | 297 |
| | information systems strategic orientation in an e-commerce environment', International Journal of Production | 298 |
| | Research, Vol. 42 No 15, pp. 2915-2939. | 299 |
| 4. | Bourletidis, K. and Triantafyllopoulos, Y. (2014) 'SMEs Survival in Time of Crisis: Strategies, Tactics and | 300 |
| | Commercial Success Stories', Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, Vol. 148, pp. 639-644. | 301 |
| 5. | Alyoubi, B.A. (2015) 'Decision Support System and Knowledge-based Strategic Management', Procedia | 302 |
| | Computer Science, Vol. 65, pp. 278-284. | 303 |
| 6. | Fairbank, J.F., Labianca, G.J., Steensma, H.K. and Metters, R. (2006) 'Information Processing Design Choices, | 304 |
| | Strategy, and Risk Management Performance', Journal of Management Information Systems, Vol. 23 No 1, pp. 293- | 305 |
| | 319. | 306 |
| 7. | Andersen, T.J. (2001) 'Information Technology, strategic decision making approaches and organizational | 307 |
| | performance in different industrial settings', Journal of Strategic Information Systems, Vol. 10 No 2, pp. 101-119. | 308 |
| 8. | Arnott, D. and Pervan, G. (2008) 'Eight key issues for the decision support systems discipline', Decision Support | 309 |
| | Systems, Vol. 44 No 3, pp. 657-672. | 310 |
| 9. | Kamariotou, M. and Kitsios, F. (2016) 'Strategic Information Systems Planning: SMEs Performance outcomes' in: | 311 |
| | Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium and 27th National Conference on Operational Research, | 312 |
| | Athens, Greece, pp. 153-157. | 313 |
| 10. | Brown, I. (2010) 'Strategic Information Systems Planning: Comparing Espoused Beliefs with Practice' | 314 |
| | in ECIS 2010: Proceedings of 18th European Conference on Information Systems, Pretoria, South Africa, pp. 1- | 315 |
| | 12. | 316 |
| 11. | Brown, I.T.J. (2004) 'Testing and Extending Theory in Strategic Information Systems Planning Through Literature | 317 |
| | Analysis', Information Resources Management Journal, Vol. 17 No 4, pp. 20-48. | 318 |
| 12. | Giannacourou, M., Kantaraki, M. and Christopoulou, V. (2015) 'The Perception of Crisis by Greek SMEs and its | 319 |
| | Impact on Managerial Practices', Procedia-Social and Be-havioral Sciences, Vol. 175, pp. 546-551. | 320 |
| 13. | Maharaj, S. and Brown, I. (2015) 'The impact of shared domain knowledge on strategic information systems planning and | 321 |
| | alignment: original research', South African Journal of Information Management, Vol. 17 No 1, pp. 1-12. | 322 |
| 14. | Ilona Madalina COSTEA, Florin Codrut Nemtanu, Catalin Dumitrescu, Claudiu Virgil Banu, Geanina Silviana Banu; | 323 |
| | Monitoring System with Application in Road Transport; 2014 IEEE 20th International Symposium for Design and | 324 |
| | Technology in Electronic Packaging (SIITME); BDI; ISBN:978-1-4799-6961-6; pag. 145-148 , DOI: | 325 |
| | 10.1109/SIITME.2014.6967013- WOS:000358258300025 | 326 |
| 15. | S Raboaca, C Dumitrescu, I. Manta, Aircraft Trajectory Tracking using Radar Equipment with Fuzzy Logic Algorithm, | 327 |
| | published Mathematics 2020, Volume 8, Issue 2, 207, d <u>oi.org/10.3390/math8020207</u> , ISSN 2227-7390, 2020. | 328 |
| 16. | F. Nemtanu, Ilona Madalina COSTEA, Catalin Dumitrescu, Spectral Analysis of Traffic Functions in Urban Areas, | 329 |
| 4 - | PROME1 - Trattic&Transportation, ISSN: 1848-4069, Vol. 27, 2015, No. 6; pag. 477-484 - WOS:000368321400003 | 330 |
| 17. | M Minea, C Dumitrescu, I Moise, Non-Intrusive Driver Condition Monitoring in Highly Automated Vehicles with Medical | 331 |
| | Information Support for Emergency Calling, <u>2019 42nd International Conference on Telecommunications and Signal</u> | 332 |
| | Processing (TSP), 1-3 July 2019, IEEE Xplore, IEEE, DOI <u>10.1109/TSP.2019.8769094</u> , ISBN: 978-1-7281-1864-2. | 333 |
| | | |

| 18. | M Minea, C Dumitrescu, Unconventional Public Transport Anonymous Data Collection employing Artificial Intelligence, | 334 |
|-----|---|-----|
| | 11 th International Conference on Electronics, Computer and Artificial Intelligence – ECAI 2019, IEEE, vol 11 – No 1/2019, | 335 |
| | ISSN 1843-2115, ISBN 978-1-7281-1624-2 | 336 |
| 19. | M Minea, C Dumitrescu, Enhanced public transport management employing AI and anonymous BT data collection, 23rd | 337 |
| | International Conference on Circuits, Systems, Communications and Computers (CSCC 2019), Athens, Greece, July 14-17, | 338 |
| | 2019, "MATEC Web of Conferences", E-ISSN: 2261-236X, Volume 292, 2019, | 339 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201929203006 | 340 |
| 20. | Ilona Madalina COSTEA, C. Dumitrescu, C Banu. B. Soare, Trajectory estimation for transportation systems: Aircraft | 341 |
| | tracking applications, Proceedings of 38th International Spring Seminar on Electronics Technology - ISSE 2015, Eger, | 342 |
| | Ungaria; pag. 329-332, DOI: 10.1109/ISSE.2015.7248016 - WOS:000374113000067 | 343 |
| 21. | Mirchandani, D.A and Lederer, A.L. (2014) "Less is More:" Information Systems Planning in an | 344 |
| | Uncertain Environment', Information Systems Management, Vol. 29, No 1, pp. 13–25. | 345 |
| 22. | Roesch, M.; Linder, C.; Zimmermann, R.; Rudolf, A.; Hohmann, A.; Reinhart, G. Smart Grid for Industry Using Multi-Agent | 346 |
| | Reinforcement Learning. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 6900. | 347 |
| 23. | Yang, H.; Liu, X.Y.; Zhong, S.; Walid, A. Deep Reinforcement Learning for Automated Stock Trading: An Ensemble | 348 |
| | Strategy. SSNR 2020. | 349 |
| 24. | Abbeel, P.; Darrell, T.; Finn, C.; Levine, S. End-to-End Training of Deep Visuomotor Policies. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 2016, 17, | 350 |
| | 1334–1373. | 351 |
| 25. | Konar, A.; Chakraborty, I.G.; Singh, S.J.; Jain, L.C.; Nagar, A.K. A deterministic improved q-learning for path planning of | 352 |
| | a mobile robot. IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. Part A Syst. Hum. 2013, 43. | 353 |
| 26. | Lin, J.L.; Hwang, K.S.; Jiang, W.C.; Chen, Y.J. Gait Balance and Acceleration of a Biped Robot Based on Q-Learning. IEEE | 354 |
| | Access 2016, 4. | 355 |
| 27. | Panagiaris, N.; Hart, E.; Gkatzia, D. Generating unambiguous and diverse referring expressions. Comput. Speech | 356 |
| | Lang. 2021 , 68. | 357 |
| | | 358 |
| | | |